Text	Text		
as written before separating topics	- as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment
	Trees, copses, natural features should be worked round and worked into development, not destroyed & replaced	Maybe	Except in a Conservation area or trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees.
	Plant more trees	Maybe	May be possible via Biodiversity net gain or planning conditions
	Stop cutting down trees	Maybe	Except in a Conservation area or trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees
	Building with planning and pupose, not cost saving on maintenance	Maybe	
	Ancient woodland buffer zones	Maybe	
	Don't needlessly take down hedges and trees for new homes, nor replace hedges with fences	Maybe	Except for trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees and remove hedges
	If insisting on new high buildings, then build falconries, bird housing for owls, bats, falcons, etc	Yes	
	Designate local 'green spaces' eg Pinkneys Green, Deerswood, within golf course siteetc	Yes	Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan

r	-		
	To protect local biodiversity and		
	ensure new planting is fit for	Yes	
	projected change in climate.		
	Conserve Riverside for recreation		А
			Neighbourhood
		Maybe	Plan has limited
			scope to specifiy
			usage
	Protect Riverside		Riverside is
			already a
		Maybe	Conservation
			Area
	Link the BAP to planning system		
			May be possible
		Maybe	to write policies
			for some aspects
	Link Green Spaces		
			May be possible
		Maybe	to write policies
			for some aspects
	Blue & Green Infrastructure	Yes	
	standards	Tes	
	Stop cutting down trees		Except in a
			Conservation
			area or trees
		Maybe	with TPOs,
			landowner can
			fell trees
	Policies that blow away the		
	vagueness of e.g. Biodiversity Action		Would need to
		Marilaa	
	Plan	Maybe	focus on specific
			defined aspects
We need a plan that both sourcest	We need green corridors and anot		Noighbourbeed
We need a plan that both permits	We need green corridors and open		Neighbourhood
and encourages development but it	access green land around		plan could
must be of the right height and	Maidenhead.	Maybe	specify Green
architecture, along European lines.			corridors but not
We need green corridors and open			access
access green land around	We should be looking forward to		
Maidenhead. We need a plan that	moving more flood plain and gravel		Enabling policies
reduces council debt but provides	pit land into public access land for	Maybe	may be within
better public services. A lot of	community and wildlife use.		scope
outsourced services simply don't			

	Struggling to find anything positive		Designation of
	but retaining the remaining green		Green spaces is
	spaces that have not been ruined	Yes	in scope of
	would be my priority.		Neighbourhood
			Plan
Protecting open spaces around the	Protecting open spaces around the		Designation of
town and ensuring Maidenhead town	town		Green spaces is
centre has a USP with independent		Yes	in scope of
shops and restaurants. No more			Neighbourhood
building of high rise ugly eyesores!			Plan
Policy topics:	Biodiversity gain to be on-site	Maybe	
- Biodiversity gain to be on-site	Developments on Waterway corridor		
- Housing mix to be wider than at	to contribute to connected		
present, and include more 3-	biodiversity, and structures to allow	Yes	
bedroom semis and town houses,	navigation, walking and cycling		
especially outside the town centre.			
Recent new builds are too heavily	Existing Green spaces to be		Designation of
biased towards one and two	protected		Green spaces is
bedroom flats.		Yes	in scope of
- Conservation areas and listed			Neighbourhood
buildings to be better protected			Plan

Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned
Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe					Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe					Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity

Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity	Biodiversity
Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap		Climate	Biodiversity
Maybe			Climate	Biodiversity
Maybe	Neighbourhood plan could specify Green corridors but not access			Biodiversity
Maybe				Biodiversity

Yes			Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity
Maybe			Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity
Yes			Biodiversity

Source	Date	Postcode
Public meeting	30/03/2023	

Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 8JW

Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 4LW
Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 5BL
Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 6HE