| | T | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| Text as written before separating topics | Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | Covenant new build houses so only small % of gardens can be hard landscaped (variation for disabled) | Maybe | Sustainable Urban Drainage | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Building with planning and pupose, not cost saving on maintenance | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Ancient woodland buffer zones | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | If insisting on new high buildings, then build falconries, bird housing for owls, bats, falcons, etc | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Designate local 'green spaces' eg Pinkneys Green, Deerswood, within golf course siteetc | Yes | Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | To protect local biodiversity and ensure new planting is fit for projected change in climate. | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| Make progress on Moor cut and link to Bray cut to be more accessible on Greenway | Link Moor cut to Bray cut to be more accessible on Greenway | Maybe | May be possible to enable via footpaths policy | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Conserve Riverside for recreation | Maybe | A Neighbourhood Plan has limited scope to specifiy usage | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Protect Riverside | Maybe | Riverside is already a Conservation Area | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Ensure Driveways and parking surfaces are made permeable | Maybe | Sustainable Urban Drainage | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Link the BAP to planning system | Maybe | May be possible to write policies for some aspects | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Link Green Spaces | Maybe | May be possible to write policies for some aspects | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Blue & Green Infrastructure standards | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Biodiversity | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Housing affordibility in conjuction with local community | Yes | | Maybe | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |

| Text | Text | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| as written before separating topics | - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | Affordable housing | Yes | | Maybe | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Have a limit on future High Rise flats | Yes | A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD | Maybe | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Enabling policies for Community Land Trusts | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Encourage more affordable and social housing | Yes | | Maybe | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | If building flats/apartments, there is a need for families. Not 1/2 beds only, profit priorities over use | Yes | | Maybe | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| Have openable windows and solar panels (compulsory?) as standard for all new building applications | Openable windows as standard for all new building applications | Yes | Would need to check overlap with building standards | Yes | | | | | Housing | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Solar panels as standard for all new building applications | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Most current developments seem to include either heat pumps (ground or air) or solar panels. Could a | | Yes | Policy may have to specify carbon- neutral | Yes | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| neighbourhood plan encourage both? Heat pumps to warm and solar | Include solar panels to provide the electricity | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | meeting | | |
| New housing design to be capable of easy adaptation for solar panels, heat exchangers; ceiling heights high | New housing design to be capable of easy adaptation for solar panels | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Climate | | Public | 30/03/2023 | |
| enough to accommodate ceiling fans? | Heat exchangers | Yes | Policy may have to specify carbon- neutral | Yes | | | | | Cimate | | meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Mitigation of town centre development. Eg EV charging points to improve air quality in town and Climate Change Action? | Yes | | Maybe | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| No new homes without heat pumps, solar panels, grey water system, rainwater capture | No new homes without heat pumps | Yes | Policy may have to specify carbon- neutral | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | No new homes without solar panels | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | No new homes without grey water system and rainwater capture | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | | | | | |
| | EV charging for public Parking and residents | Yes | | Maybe | Not sure what we could specify in public realm | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |

| E . | I | 1 | ı | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | ı | 1 |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| Text as written before separating topics | Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | Policies that blow away the vagueness of e.g. Biodiversity Action Plan | Maybe | Would need to focus on specific defined aspects | Maybe | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Solar panels or bio-diversity roof/wall gardens | Yes | Only some of these might be coverable by a policy | Yes | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Local heat Networks and renewables- generation enabling policies | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Climate | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Local list of non-designated heritage assets | Yes | Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| Identify, and keep in good order, heritage buildings | Identify heritage buildings | Yes | Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Heritage 'areas' as well as buildings - sense of space | Maybe | Usually defined as Conservation Areas, but defining new ones is outside scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Maybe | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Retain character and architecture of Maidenhead Post office | Yes | Already in Conservation Area | Yes | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Any list of 'heritage buildings' should be based on community view, not academic architectural merit | Yes | Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Heritage to include the SPACES around the buildings and access to them (including good viewpoints) | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Making sure the Centre, the Bell, Maidenhead Town Hall, other heritage sites don't get knocked down | Maybe | If buildings were put on Local list or are heritage assets policies could protect them | Maybe | | | | | Built Heritage | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |

| Text | Text | | I | | I | | 1 | | | | | I | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| as written before separating topics | - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | History and Heritage that may no longer be visible should also be part of design guides (not just post 70s mess) | Maybe | Hard to understand intention | Maybe | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Permeable external works | Maybe | Sustainable Urban Drainage | Yes | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Areas for community events, meetings, pop-up stalls and activities | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Improve circulation for cars, pedestrians, cycles | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Improve built environment and streetscape | Yes | | Maybe | Not sure what we could specify in public realm | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Define 'exemplar' in tall buildings design | Yes | This will be a tricky policy to make effective | Maybe | NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Allocate suitable sites for developments | Maybe | BLP already allocates sites | Maybe | BLP already allocates sites | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Architecture to be sypathetic to local surroundings in a particular ward | Yes | | Maybe | NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Parking to account for increased population | Yes | | Maybe | Check against existing RBWM parking standards | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | All new build to passive haus Standards plus water reclaim/reuse | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Solar panels ATTRACTIVE exteriors | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Design | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Active travel infrastructure - cycling, walking, wheeling | Yes | | Yes | | | | | Getting around | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| Complete waterways link & associated paths & landscaping | Complete (waterways) associated paths & landscaping | Maybe | May be possible to enable via footpaths policy | Maybe | | | | | Getting around | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | New Vicus car park used by Braywick - 5 minute walk, but put in better pathways | Maybe | Walking infrastructure | Yes | | | | | Getting around | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Improve access for pedestrians to existing car parks in Stafferton Way, better footpaths | Maybe | Walking infrastructure | Yes | | | | | Getting around | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Make it more pleasant to walk around town centre, i.e. improve connectivity | Maybe | Walking infrastructure | Yes | | | | | Getting around | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| | Community facilities, prioritise types of facilities to be protected (eg pubs, club buildings) | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | Anything else | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |

| Text | T4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| as written before separating topics | Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | Finances - seek to influence how S106 money is spent, rather than just to balance Council Books | Maybe | Neighbourhood Plan can refer to infrastructure priorities | Yes | | | | | Anything else | | Public meeting | 30/03/2023 | |
| Carbon-related policy e.g. requirement for solar panels etc; | Carbon-related policy e.g. requirement for solar panels etc | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| heritage listings | Heritage listings | Yes | Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 4EU |
| Retail/amenity hubs throughout locale (e.g. 15 minute cities), | Retail/amenity hubs throughout locale (e.g. 15 minute cities) | Maybe | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decreased reliance on cars | Maybe | | Maybe | | | | | | | | | |
| decreased reliance on cars, access to river/green space/walks | Access to river/green space/walks | Maybe | May be possible to enable via footpaths policy | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 6AH |
| We need a plan that both permits and encourages development but it must be of the right height and architecture, along European lines. We need green corridors and open access green land around | We need a plan that both permits and encourages development but it must be of the right height and architecture, along European lines. | Maybe | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Maidenhead. We need a plan that reduces council debt but provides better public services. A lot of outsourced services simply don't provide a good service. So, for | We need green corridors and open access green land around Maidenhead. | Maybe | Neighbourhood plan could specify Green corridors but not access | Maybe | Neighbourhood plan could specify Green corridors but not access | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 8JW |
| example, park and path maintenance is poor. Road maintenance is terrible. Community matters and it is being | We need to encourage the development of green housing as much as possible. | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| neglected. So strengthening community should be an objective. I think that a Maidenhead wind turbine might be a good idea (by the M4). We need to encourage the | We should be looking forward to moving more flood plain and gravel pit land into public access land for community and wildlife use. | Maybe | Enabling policies may be within scope | Maybe | | | | | | | | | |
| | The Windsor Neighbourhood Plan seems to offer a good starting point. | Maybe | Can review Windsor policies | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 1HP |
| | Struggling to find anything positive but retaining the remaining green spaces that have not been ruined would be my priority. | Yes | Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 4LW |
| Protecting open spaces around the town and ensuring Maidenhead town centre has a USP with independent shops and restaurants. No more building of high rise ugly eyesores! | Protecting open spaces around the town | Yes | Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | | | | | |

| Text | Text | | | | | T | | | I | | | | 1 |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|----------|
| as written before separating topics | - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
| | No more building of high rise ugly eyesores! | Maybe | A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD | Maybe | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 5BL |
| Environmental issues - how is the town going to change things for the climate? Town planning with more engagement of the community. | Environmental issues - how is the town going to change things for the climate? | Yes | May be possible to write policies for some aspects | Maybe | Check against existing policies | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 4HW |
| Everything takes too long to get set up. More things for kids to do, teens. No bowling available to them. Leisure | Town planning with more engagement of the community | Maybe | This is what Neighbourhood planning aims to do | Yes | | | | | | | 3ui vey | | |
| Would like to see a strategy for the Riverside as a leisure asset. Also, Heritage Listing is an aspiration in the BLP but without any mechanism or timetable. Is this something that MNF could carry forward with others? | Would like to see a strategy for the Riverside as a leisure asset | Maybe | May be possible to write policies for some aspects | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Heritage Listing is an aspiration in the BLP but without any mechanism or timetable. Is this something that MNF could carry forward with others? | Yes | Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 8HT |
| | Affordable Housing e.g. out of the box thinking such as policy provision for working relationships with community land trusts etc | Yes | Enabling policies for Community Land trust is within scope | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 5EX |
| Heights of new buildings limited to 6 storeys. Major buildings to be set adequately back from the road kerbside to avoid giving the feeling of being hemmed in. There really has to be a policy that somehow obliges design of buildings to be attractive. I know this is | Heights of new buildings limited to 6 storeys. Major buildings to be set adequately back from the road kerbside to avoid giving the feeling of being hemmed in. | Maybe | A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD | Maybe | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 1TF |
| subjective, but even so | There really has to be a policy that somehow obliges design of buildings to be attractive. I know this is subjective | Yes | This will be a tricky policy to make effective | Maybe | NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy | | | | | | | | |
| | There is a severe lack of affordable housing to rent | Maybe | BLP already has policies on affordable housing | Maybe | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 2TQ |
| Policy topics: | Biodiversity gain to be on-site | Maybe | <u> </u> | Maybe | | I | | | l l | | | | l |

| Text as written before separating topics | Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics | Within scope of planning? | MNF comment | Fits with NPPF and BLP? | MNF comment | Can MNF resource? | MNF comment | Overall status | Policy group at input | Policy group assigned | Source | Date | Postcode |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| - Biodiversity gain to be on-site - Housing mix to be wider than at present, and include more 3-bedroom semis and town houses, especially outside the town centre. Recent new builds are too heavily biased towards one and two bedroom flats Conservation areas and listed buildings to be better protected against dense adjacent development which overshadows and destroys setting Cycleways to be well connected, continuous and suitable for children, rather than disconnected pieces which dump the user onto main roads Cycleways and footpaths to use bridges and underpasses, rather than stop-and-wait crossings Developments on Waterway corridor to contribute to connected | Housing mix to be wider than at present, and include more 3-bedroom semis and town houses, especially outside the town centre. Recent new builds are too heavily biased towards one and two bedroom flats. | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Conservation areas and listed buildings to be better protected against dense adjacent development which overshadows and destroys setting | Yes | | Maybe | A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD | | | | | | | | |
| | Cycleways and footpaths to use bridges and underpasses, rather than stop-and-wait crossings. | Yes | May be possible to enable via cycleways policy | Yes | | | | | | | Online survey | 06/04/2023 | SL6 6HE |
| biodiversity, and structures to allow navigation, walking and cycling - Existing Green spaces to be protected | Developments on Waterway corridor to contribute to connected biodiversity, and structures to allow navigation, walking and cycling | Yes | | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Existing Green spaces to be protected | Yes | Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan | Yes | | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan should include a vision for the town | Yes | Not directly a policy but Neighbourhood plans usually include a vision | Yes | | | | | | | MNF | | |
| | Spatial strategy for Maidenhead | Yes | | Maybe | BLP already has some content | | | | | | Managem't meeting | 17/05/2023 | |
| | Community priorities for s106 and CIL contributions arising from development | Yes | Gov. guidance Paragraph 145 Ref ID: 25-145- 20190901 | Yes | Some of the specific project ideas may be relevant | | | | | | | | |
| | Preserve Maidenhead's character by retaining large family houses with gardens rather than replacing them with dense blocks of flats | Yes | | Maybe | NPPF favours increased density | | | | | | MNF Managem't team e-mail | 25/05/2023 | |