

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
	Covenant new build houses so only small % of gardens can be hard landscaped (variation for disabled)	Maybe	Sustainable Urban Drainage	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Trees, copses, natural features should be worked round and worked into development, not destroyed & replaced	Maybe	Except in a Conservation area or trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees.	Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Plant more trees	Maybe	May be possible via Biodiversity net gain or planning conditions	Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Stop cutting down trees	Maybe	Except in a Conservation area or trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees	Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Building with planning and pupose, not cost saving on maintenance	Maybe		Maybe					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Ancient woodland buffer zones	Maybe		Maybe					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Don't needlessly take down hedges and trees for new homes, nor replace hedges with fences	Maybe	Except for trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees and remove hedges	Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	If insisting on new high buildings, then build falconries, bird housing for owls, bats, falcons, etc	Yes		Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Designate local 'green spaces' eg Pinkneys Green, Deerswood, within golf course site..etc	Yes	Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	To protect local biodiversity and ensure new planting is fit for projected change in climate.	Yes		Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Make progress on Moor cut and link to Bray cut to be more accessible on Greenway	Link Moor cut to Bray cut to be more accessible on Greenway	Maybe	May be possible to enable via footpaths policy	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
	Conserve Riverside for recreation	Maybe	A Neighbourhood Plan has limited scope to specify usage	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Protect Riverside	Maybe	Riverside is already a Conservation Area	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Ensure Driveways and parking surfaces are made permeable	Maybe	Sustainable Urban Drainage	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Link the BAP to planning system	Maybe	May be possible to write policies for some aspects	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Link Green Spaces	Maybe	May be possible to write policies for some aspects	Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Blue & Green Infrastructure standards	Yes		Yes					Biodiversity	Biodiversity	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Housing affordability in conjunction with local community	Yes		Maybe					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Affordable housing	Yes		Maybe					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Have a limit on future High Rise flats	Yes	A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD	Maybe					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Enabling policies for Community Land Trusts	Yes		Yes					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Encourage more affordable and social housing	Yes		Maybe					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	If building flats/apartments, there is a need for families. Not 1/2 beds only, profit priorities over use	Yes		Maybe					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Have openable windows and solar panels (compulsory?) as standard for all new building applications	Openable windows as standard for all new building applications	Yes	Would need to check overlap with building standards	Yes					Housing	Housing	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Solar panels as standard for all new building applications	Yes		Yes						Housing			

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
Most current developments seem to include either heat pumps (ground or air) or solar panels. Could a neighbourhood plan encourage both? Heat pumps to warm and solar	Include heat pumps (ground or air) to warm	Yes	Policy may have to specify carbon neutral	Yes					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Include solar panels to provide the electricity	Yes		Yes				Climate					
New housing design to be capable of easy adaptation for solar panels, heat exchangers; ceiling heights high enough to accommodate ceiling fans?	New housing design to be capable of easy adaptation for solar panels	Yes		Yes					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Heat exchangers	Yes	Policy may have to specify carbon neutral	Yes				Climate					
	Mitigation of town centre development. Eg EV charging points to improve air quality in town and Climate Change Action?	Yes		Maybe					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Stop cutting down trees	Maybe	Except in a Conservation area or trees with TPOs, landowner can fell trees	Maybe	BLP policies NR2 and NR3 are relevant, possible overlap				Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
No new homes without heat pumps, solar panels, grey water system, rainwater capture	No new homes without heat pumps	Yes	Policy may have to specify carbon neutral	Yes					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	No new homes without solar panels	Yes		Yes				Climate					
	No new homes without grey water system and rainwater capture	Maybe		Maybe				Climate					
	EV charging for public Parking and residents	Yes		Maybe	Not sure what we could specify in public realm				Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Policies that blow away the vagueness of e.g. Biodiversity Action Plan	Maybe	Would need to focus on specific defined aspects	Maybe					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Solar panels or bio-diversity roof/wall gardens	Yes	Only some of these might be coverable by a policy	Yes					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Local heat Networks and renewables-generation enabling policies	Yes		Yes					Climate	Climate	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Local list of non-designated heritage assets	Yes	Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
Identify, and keep in good order, heritage buildings	Identify heritage buildings	Yes	Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Heritage 'areas' as well as buildings - sense of space	Maybe	Usually defined as Conservation Areas, but defining new ones is outside scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Maybe					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Retain character and architecture of Maidenhead Post office	Yes	Already in Conservation Area	Yes					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Any list of 'heritage buildings' should be based on community view, not academic architectural merit	Yes	Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Heritage to include the SPACES around the buildings and access to them (including good viewpoints)	Yes		Yes					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Making sure the Centre, the Bell, Maidenhead Town Hall, other heritage sites don't get knocked down	Maybe	If buildings were put on Local list or are heritage assets policies could protect them	Maybe					Built Heritage	Built Heritage	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	History and Heritage that may no longer be visible should also be part of design guides (not just post 70s mess)	Maybe	Hard to understand intention	Maybe					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Permeable external works	Maybe	Sustainable Urban Drainage	Yes					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Areas for community events, meetings, pop-up stalls and activities	Maybe		Maybe					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Improve circulation for cars, pedestrians, cycles	Maybe		Maybe					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Improve built environment and streetscape	Yes		Maybe	Not sure what we could specify in public realm				Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
	Define 'exemplar' in tall buildings design	Yes	This will be a tricky policy to make effective	Maybe	NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy				Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Allocate suitable sites for developments	Maybe	BLP already allocates sites	Maybe	BLP already allocates sites				Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Architecture to be sympathetic to local surroundings in a particular ward	Yes		Maybe	NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy				Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Parking to account for increased population	Yes		Maybe	Check against existing RBWM parking standards				Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	All new build to passive house Standards plus water reclaim/reuse	Maybe		Maybe					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Solar panels ATTRACTIVE exteriors	Maybe		Maybe					Design	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Active travel infrastructure - cycling, walking, wheeling	Yes		Yes					Getting around	Getting around	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Complete waterways link & associated paths & landscaping	Complete (waterways) associated paths & landscaping	Maybe	May be possible to enable via footpaths policy	Maybe					Getting around	Getting around	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	New Vicus car park used by Braywick 5 minute walk, but put in better pathways	Maybe	Walking infrastructure	Yes					Getting around	Getting around	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Improve access for pedestrians to existing car parks in Stafferton Way, better footpaths	Maybe	Walking infrastructure	Yes					Getting around	Getting around	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Make it more pleasant to walk around town centre, i.e. improve connectivity	Maybe	Walking infrastructure	Yes					Getting around	Getting around	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Community facilities, prioritise types of facilities to be protected (eg pubs, club buildings)	Maybe		Maybe					Anything else	Design	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
	Finances - seek to influence how \$106 million is spent, rather than just to balance Council Books	Maybe	Neighbourhood Plan can refer to infrastructure priorities	Yes					Anything else	Editor	Public meeting	30/03/2023	
Carbon-related policy e.g. requirement for solar panels etc; heritage listings	Carbon-related policy e.g. requirement for solar panels etc	Yes		Yes						Climate			
	Heritage listings	Yes	Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes						Built Heritage	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 4EU

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
Retail/amenity hubs throughout locale (e.g. 15 minute cities), decreased reliance on cars, access to river/green space/walks	Retail/amenity hubs throughout locale (e.g. 15 minute cities)	Maybe		Yes						Design	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 6AH
	Decreased reliance on cars	Maybe		Maybe					Getting around				
	Access to river/green space/walks	Maybe	May be possible to enable via footpaths policy	Yes					Getting around				
We need a plan that both permits and encourages development but it must be of the right height and architecture, along European lines. We need green corridors and open access green land around Maidenhead. We need a plan that reduces council debt but provides better public services. A lot of outsourced services simply don't provide a good service. So, for example, park and path maintenance is poor. Road maintenance is terrible. Community matters and it is being neglected. So strengthening community should be an objective. I think that a Maidenhead wind turbine might be a good idea (by the M4). We need to encourage the	We need a plan that both permits and encourages development but it must be of the right height and architecture, along European lines.	Maybe		Yes						Design	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 8JW
	We need green corridors and open access green land around Maidenhead.	Maybe	Neighbourhood plan could specify Green corridors but not access	Maybe	Neighbourhood plan could specify Green corridors but not access				Getting around				
	We need to encourage the development of green housing as much as possible.	Yes		Yes					Climate				
	We should be looking forward to moving more flood plain and gravel pit land into public access land for community and wildlife use.	Maybe	Enabling policies may be within scope	Maybe					Biodiversity				
	The Windsor Neighbourhood Plan seems to offer a good starting point.	Maybe	Can review Windsor policies	Yes					Editor	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 1HP	
	Struggling to find anything positive but retaining the remaining green spaces that have not been ruined would be my priority.	Yes	Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Biodiversity	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 4LW	
Protecting open spaces around the town and ensuring Maidenhead town centre has a USP with independent shops and restaurants. No more building of high rise ugly eyesores!	Protecting open spaces around the town	Yes	Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes					Biodiversity	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 5BL	
	No more building of high rise ugly eyesores!	Maybe	A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD	Maybe					Design				
Environmental issues - how is the town going to change things for the climate? Town planning with more engagement of the community.	Environmental issues - how is the town going to change things for the climate?	Yes	May be possible to write policies for some aspects	Maybe	Check against existing policies					Climate	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 4HW

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
Everything takes too long to get set up. More things for kids to do, teens. No bowling available to them. Leisure	Town planning with more engagement of the community	Maybe	This is what Neighbourhood planning aims to do	Yes						Editor			
Would like to see a strategy for the Riverside as a leisure asset. Also, Heritage Listing is an aspiration in the BLP but without any mechanism or timetable. Is this something that MNF could carry forward with others?	Would like to see a strategy for the Riverside as a leisure asset	Maybe	May be possible to write policies for some aspects	Yes						Design	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 8HT
	Heritage Listing is an aspiration in the BLP but without any mechanism or timetable. Is this something that MNF could carry forward with others?	Yes	Not clear if creation of Local list is within scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes						Built Heritage			
	Affordable Housing e.g. out of the box thinking such as policy provision for working relationships with community land trusts etc...	Yes	Enabling policies for Community Land trust is within scope	Yes						Housing	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 5EX
Heights of new buildings limited to 6 storeys. Major buildings to be set adequately back from the road kerbside to avoid giving the feeling of being hemmed in. There really has to be a policy that somehow obliges design of buildings to be attractive. I know this is subjective, but even so.....	Heights of new buildings limited to 6 storeys. Major buildings to be set adequately back from the road kerbside to avoid giving the feeling of being hemmed in.	Maybe	A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD	Maybe						Design	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 1TF
	There really has to be a policy that somehow obliges design of buildings to be attractive. I know this is subjective	Yes	This will be a tricky policy to make effective	Maybe	NPPF seems against very prescriptive policy					Design			
	There is a severe lack of affordable housing to rent	Maybe	BLP already has policies on affordable housing	Maybe						Housing	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 2TQ
Policy topics: - Biodiversity gain to be on-site - Housing mix to be wider than at present, and include more 3-bedroom semis and town houses, especially outside the town centre. Recent new builds are too heavily biased towards one and two bedroom flats. - Conservation areas and listed buildings to be better protected against dense adjacent development which overshadows and destroys setting. - Cycleways to be well connected, continuous and suitable for children,	Biodiversity gain to be on-site	Maybe		Maybe						Biodiversity			
	Housing mix to be wider than at present, and include more 3-bedroom semis and town houses, especially outside the town centre. Recent new builds are too heavily biased towards one and two bedroom flats.	Yes		Yes						Housing			
	Conservation areas and listed buildings to be better protected against dense adjacent development which overshadows and destroys setting	Yes		Maybe	A Neighbourhood Plan policy would have to be compatible with existing Tall buildings SPD					Built Heritage			

Text as written before separating topics	Text - as written at input - or as updated to separate topics	Within scope of planning?	MNF comment	Fits with NPPF and BLP?	MNF comment	Can MNF resource?	MNF comment	Overall status	Policy group at input	Policy group assigned	Source	Date	Postcode
rather than disconnected pieces which dump the user onto main roads. - Cycleways and footpaths to use bridges and underpasses, rather than stop-and-wait crossings. - Developments on Waterway corridor to contribute to connected biodiversity, and structures to allow navigation, walking and cycling - Existing Green spaces to be protected	Cycleways to be well connected, continuous and suitable for children, rather than disconnected pieces which dump the user onto main roads.	Yes	May be possible to enable via cycleways policy	Yes						Getting around	Online survey	06/04/2023	SL6 6HE
	Cycleways and footpaths to use bridges and underpasses, rather than stop-and-wait crossings.	Yes		Yes						Biodiversity			
	Developments on Waterway corridor to contribute to connected biodiversity, and structures to allow navigation, walking and cycling	Yes	Designation of Green spaces is in scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes						Biodiversity			
	Plan should include a vision for the town	Yes	Not directly a policy but Neighbourhood plans usually include a vision	Yes						Editor	MNF Managem't meeting	17/05/2023	
	Spatial strategy for Maidenhead	Yes		Maybe	BLP already has some content					Editor			
	Community priorities for s106 and CIL contributions arising from development	Yes	Gov. guidance Paragraph 145 Ref ID: 25-145-20190901	Yes	Some of the specific project ideas may be relevant					Editor			
	Preserve Maidenhead's character by retaining large family houses with gardens rather than replacing them with dense blocks of flats	Yes		Maybe	NPPF favours increased density					Design	MNF Managem't team e-mail	25/05/2023	
	Opportunities for harnessing hydro-energy on River Thames weirs	Maybe	Implementing specific projects is outside scope of Neighbourhood Plan	Yes	BLP policy NR5 is relevant, possible overlap					Climate	MNF Managem't team e-mail	08/06/2023	